

# **Turvey Neighbourhood Plan**

## **VILLAGE DISCUSSION EVALUATION**

**17/3/18**

## Overview

1. 51 people attended the event, excluding facilitators. Gender representation was roughly equal (27 men and 24 women). However there was a marked imbalance with respect to age. Of the 39 attendees who provided their age, 27 (69%) were aged 60+ and 12 (31%) were aged 40-59. There were therefore no participants under the age of 40.
2. Evaluation forms (see page 3) were given to all 51 attendees, 44 were completed, a response rate of 86%. The event was evaluated very positively by participants.
  - 75% reported having a considerably or much better understanding of the aims and purpose of the Neighbourhood Plan (14% said they already had a good understanding).
  - 82% reported they completely felt able to express their views. Positive comments particularly highlighted the opportunity to contribute and share views, the organisation of the event and the open and inclusive discussion.
  - 30% of attendees responded to the question asking for suggestions for how the event could have been improved, the majority of these suggested more time for discussion and having microphones to aid hearing.

	Considerably better	Much better	To some extent	I already had a good understanding	No response
I have a better understanding of the aims and purpose of the Neighbourhood Plan	18 (41%)	15 (34%)	4 (9%)	6 (14%)	1 (2%)
	Completely	To some extent	A little	Not at all	
I felt able to contribute my views	36 (82%)	8 (9%)	0	0	

## What was good about the event?

Involvement in the Neighbourhood Plan, opportunity to contribute, discuss, share ideas, share opinions	15
Well planned and organised, well provided with written information, good time-keeping, report back by one person	13
Inclusive and open discussion, small groups encouraged expression, being impartial, team work	12
Interest and enthusiasm, good attendance and community involvement, helped build community spirit	5
Chance to understand Neighbourhood Plan better, insight into proposed selection process	5
Seeing general agreement	1
Explanation of how our opinion may preserve Turvey as an attractive and special place	1

**How could the event have been improved?**

More time for discussion, more feedback time with open debate	5
Microphones (hard to hear at times)	5
Not separating landowners, landowner views	3
Engage younger people	2
More clarity on aims of meeting and next steps, a bit more introduction to the process,	3
Fish and chips and bacon butties!	2
Difficult to assess without specifics on sites	2
Rotation of tables	1
Perhaps emphasis that the Neighbourhood Plan is legally binding	1
Importance of responding to written surveys to contribute to evidence	1
Keep discussion focused	1
Felt it was more of a lip service	1

**VILLAGE DISCUSSION FEEDBACK FORM**

**1. I have a better understanding of the aims and purpose of the Neighbourhood Plan.**

Considerably better    Much better    To some extent    I already had a good understanding

**2. I felt able to contribute my views.**

Completely                      To some extent                      A little                      Not at all

**3. What was good about the event?**

**4. How could the event have been improved?**