

## Turvey Neighbourhood Plan Aims, Policies, Site Selection Criteria Consultation

### Feedback from DLP for Station Road

Topic	Comments	Response to comments
<b>Aims</b>	No specific comments on Aims	
<b>Policy Areas</b>	<p><u>Comments;</u></p> <p>DLP broadly support the proposed housing policies that are positively worded and seek to encourage new sustainable forms of development that are appropriate to the size and scale of Turvey and Turvey Station.</p> <p>Policy T1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Should be more clearly worded to allow for an appropriate scale/form of development outside of the Settlement Policy Area (SPA), but within the 'sister' settlement of Turvey Station.</li> <li>• This accepting, consistent with the emerging Local Plan (Reference - draft Local Plan Policy 5) that Turvey Station is an acceptable location, subject to consideration of structure, form, character.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted for further consideration and clarification in policy development</p> <p>Noted</p>
<b>Site Selection Criteria</b>	<p><b><u>Site Selection Criteria Discussion Document – Criteria</u></b></p> <p><u>Comments;</u></p> <p><u>3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point;</u></p> <p>We have concerns over the selection of primary and secondary criteria and believe that a more appropriate methodology would be to rate sites against each criteria on a sliding scale. In this way, all criteria are considered in a consistent manner and those sites that score more highly against certain criteria can be attributed a higher score in the assessment.</p>	<p>Comments noted and taken into account.</p> <p>Introduction of weighted approach by identifying primary and secondary criteria is preferred.</p>

**Site Selection Criteria - Overall Approach**

Comments;

1<sup>st</sup> bullet point;

- DLP supports the proposed approach to Turvey Station, which recognises that it is an established settlement in its own right and that development proposals should be judged on their merits, having regard to the relationship with the structure, form, character and size of the settlement as a whole.

Noted

2<sup>nd</sup> bullet point;

- The proposed approach of releasing a number of smaller sites for development, rather than one large site is noted, albeit use of a single appropriate site would, it is believed, deliver more consistently local benefits.
- However, notwithstanding this, when considering sites for allocation, in addition to the site type and context regard should be given to the capacity of the site to provide for a spacious and locally distinctive development.

Noted

Noted

**Site Selection Criteria**

Comments

Criteria 6 - support the priority being given to the use of previously developed land over the use of undeveloped greenfield sites.

Noted

An additional criteria should include previous uses on the site and whether the site has an extant permission for built development, and the potential impact of this on the vision of the Plan and character and form of the settlement.

Noted but not preferred